Probabilistic Graphical Models for Image Analysis - Lecture 1

Alexey Gronskiy, Stefan Bauer 21 September 2018

Max Planck ETH Center for Learning Systems

- 1. Motivation Why Graphical Models
- 2. Course
- 3. Probabilistic Modeling
- 4. Probabilistic Inference

Motivation - Why Graphical Models



Manning et al. Topographic Factor Analysis: A Bayesian Model for Inferring Brain Networks from Neural Data, *PloS one*, 2014.

Image Generation



Karras et al. Progressive growing of gans for improved quality, stability, and variation.*ICLR* 2018.

Genomics



Mäkinen et al. Integrative Genomics Reveals Novel Molecular Pathways and Gene Networks for Coronary Artery Disease, *PloS genetics*, 2014.



Grisetti et al. A tutorial on graph-based SLAM. *IEEE Intelligent Transportation Systems Magazine* 2010

Course

• Exam

20 minute oral exam in English

Exercises

Each Tuesday from 15-16:00 in CAB G56; Exercises will be incorporated into the Lectures.

• Contact

Please ask questions through the open forum using https://piazza.com/.

Additional Information

http://www.vvz.ethz.ch/Vorlesungsverzeichnis/ lerneinheit.view?semkez=2018W&ansicht= KATALOGDATEN&lerneinheitId=126518&lang=en

Head TA - Philippe Wenk



Exercise Administration

Time & Room

Tuesday, 15-16, CAB G56

Exercise

- One exercise sheet per week.
- Hand it in one week afterwards.
- We return it to you the week after.
- No exercise next week!

Testat

- No Testat requirement for the exam.
- If you are a PhD student you might want to talk to your Studiensekretariat.

Related Courses

- Some overlap with the following courses: **Advanced Machine Learning - Prof. Buhmann** http://ml2.inf.ethz.ch/courses/ml/ Introduction to Machine Learning - Prof. Krause https://las.inf.ethz.ch/teaching/ introml-s18 Probabilistic Artificial Intelligence - Prof. Krause https: //las.inf.ethz.ch/teaching/pai-f18 **Computational Intelligence Lab - Prof. Hofmann** http://cil.inf.ethz.ch **Deep Learning - Prof. Hofmann** http://www.da.inf. ethz.ch/teaching/2017/DeepLearning/
- Other courses with some overlap are offered by the computer vision group.

Online Resources:

- **Barber**: http://web4.cs.ucl.ac.uk/staff/D.Barber/ pmwiki/pmwiki.php?n=Brml.Online
- MacKay http://www.inference.phy.cam.ac.uk/ mackay/itila/book.html
- Wainwright & Jordan http://www.eecs.berkeley. edu/~wainwrig/Papers/WaiJor08_FTML.pdf

Classics:

- **Bishop:** Pattern recognition and machine learning
- Koller and Friedman: Probabilistic graphical models

- Learning from data
- Probabilistic models
- Graphical models
- Variational Inference
- State Space Models
- Dynamical Systems
- Factor Analysis
- Autoencoders
- Current research

Probabilistic Modeling

Knowledge Representation

Use domain knowledge to design representative models

Automated Reasoning

Develop a general suite of algorithms for reasoning.



Deal with uncertainty inherent in the real world using the notion of probability.

Graphical Models



Graphical Models provide a compact representation of two equivalent perspectives:

- representation of a set of independencies
- factorization of the joint distribution

Representation

What do the different edges mean and how these can be used to model tasks in image analysis.

Learning

Given some empirical measurements (data), estimate the parameters of the model which best explains it.

Inference

For a given model, how to use it for making decisions and reasoning about the task at hand.

Representation

Develop techniques to represent dependencies between variables using graphical models.

Learning

Given some empirical measurements (x, y), estimate the parameters of the model $(\theta_{ML}, \theta_{MAP}, ...)$ which best explains them.

Inference

For a given model (θ), investigate various ways of using it to make predictions, de-noise images, etc.

Model the machine learning task as the joint probability

P(x, y).

example $x_i \in \mathcal{X}$ for example a set of images.

label $y_i \in \mathcal{Y}$ for example whether the digit is 1,3,4 or 8.

- **Training Data** Consists of examples and associated labels, which are used to train the machine.
 - **Testing Data** Consists of examples and associated labels. Labels are **never** used to train machine, only to evaluate its performance

Predictions Output of the trained machine.

Let $X = \{ \text{set of } d \times d \text{ images} \}$ and $Y = \{0, 1, \dots, 9\} = \{ \text{set of labelings} \}.$

Problem: Given labeled training data

$$\mathcal{D} = \{(X_1, Y_1), \ldots, (X_n, Y_n)\} \subset X^n \times Y^n,$$

find a function



that correctly classifies all images – including new ones.

Suppose we have a class of functions $\mathcal{F} = \{f_{\theta} : X \to Y | \theta \in \Theta\}.$

Empirical risk minimization Define

$$\widehat{\theta} := \operatorname*{argmin}_{\theta \in \Theta} \mathbb{E}_{\widehat{P}} \Big[\mathbb{1}[f_{\theta}(x_i) \neq y_i] \Big]$$

How to interpret
$$\mathbb{E}_{\widehat{P}}\left[\mathbbm{1}[f_{\theta}(x_i) \neq y_i]\right]$$
?

Number of incorrect classifications made by f_{θ} on the training data.

Empirical risk minimization finds the function $f_{\hat{\theta}}$ that **makes the fewest mistakes** on the training data.

Consider the problem of linear regression,

 $\mathbf{y} = \boldsymbol{\theta}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{x} + \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$

where the loss is the mean squared error:

$$\min_{\theta} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (\theta^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{x}_{i} - y_{i})^{2}$$

- 1. How are examples represented?
- 2. How are labels represented?
- 3. What does the machine estimate?
- 4. What does the machine output?

Problem: Given

- class of models $P(X; \theta)$ for $\theta \in \Theta$ and
- unlabeled data $\mathcal{D} = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in X^n$
- sampled i.i.d. from unknown distribution P(X),

find the model $\hat{\theta}$ that "best fits" the data.

In other words, want $P(X; \hat{\theta}) \approx \hat{P}(X)$ or, **better**, $P(X; \hat{\theta}) \approx P(X)$.

Suppose we have a class of probability distributions

$$\mathcal{M} = \{ \mathsf{P}(\mathsf{x}|\theta) | \theta \in \Theta \} \text{ on } \mathsf{X}.$$

The probability of the observations taken as a **function** where x is fixed and θ varies

$$L(\theta|x) := P(x|\theta),$$

is called the **likelihood**.

 $L(\theta|x)$:

• "how likely is parameter θ to have generated x?"

or

• "how well does θ explain x?"

Learning a model

Maximum likelihood estimation (ML)

Given data
$$\mathcal{D}=(x^1,\ldots,x^n)\in X^n$$
, let $L(heta|\mathcal{D}):=P(\mathcal{D}| heta):=\prod_{i=1}^N P(x^i| heta)$

be the likelihood of the parameters given the data.

Note: Assume datapoints are independently, identically distributed (i.i.d.) given θ !

Goal Find the parameter θ that is most likely to have generated the data $\mathcal{D} = (v^1, \dots, v^N)$. **Definition:** the maximum likelihood estimator (MLE) is

$$\widehat{ heta}_{ extsf{ML}} = rg\max_{ heta \in \Theta} L(heta | \mathcal{D}).$$

We usually minimize the **negative Log Likelihood** $-\log P(x|\theta)$ since,

- Logarithm is monotonic: arg min f(x) = arg min log f(x) {minimize negative log-likelihood} ↔ {maximize likelihood}
- Simplifies math: $\log \prod_i f(x_i) = \sum_i \log f(x_i)$
- Better numerical behavior:

Relation to empirical risk minimization (Exercise:) Show computing the MLE \leftrightarrow minimizing empirical risk for

$$\ell(f,x) = -\log f(x).$$

Probabilistic Inference

Independence of Random Variables

RVs X, Y are independent iff

p(x,y) = p(x)p(y).

I.e.: In a simultaneous draw, the value taken by X does not depend on the value taken by Y and vice versa.

Conditional Independence of Random Variables

RVs X, Y are conditionally independent given Z, iff

$$p(x, y|z) = p(x|z)p(y|z).$$

This however does not imply independence of the RVs X and Y.

Marginalization and its exponential running time

Marginalization

Assume a joint distribution of RVs X_1, \ldots, X_N is given

 $p(x_1,\ldots,x_N).$

Often we're interested in *marginals* of RVs X_S , where S is a subset of indices of the variable indices. The marginal can be computed as

$$p(x_S) = \sum_{x_{\{1,\ldots,N\} \notin S}} p(x_1,\ldots,x_N)$$

Summation is expensive

Assume each X can take K different values, and $S = \{1\}$. A full summation will have K^{N-1} summands, i.e. running time exponential in the number of variables!

Expressing Joint distributions

Example: Autonomous shooting device

Assume we have a simple model for a self-shooting device with three variables: $X_{\text{burglar}}, X_{\text{alarm}}, X_{\text{shoot}}$ each taking values in {0, 1}, the joint probability can be specified by giving the probability for each configuration $P(x_{\text{bu}}, x_{\text{al}}, x_{\text{sh}})$.

Joint distribution

We can specify a joint distribution as follows:

$X_{ m burglar}$	$X_{\rm alarm}$	$X_{\rm shoot}$	$P(\cdot)$
0	0	0	0.576
0	0	1	0.144
0	1	0	0.024
0	1	1	0.056
1	0	0	0.032
1	0	1	0.008
1	1	0	0.048
1	1	1	0.112

Say we have the following graphical model for the previous example:



Figure 1: Illustrating the joint distribution by a graphical model.

Here the arrows show conditional dependencies. Again: you can *not* conclude that X_{sh} is independent of X_{bu} only because they are not connected.

Factorising joint distributions

Incorporating Independence

From the graphical model we can write the joint as:

$$p(x_{bu}, x_{al}, x_{sh}) = p(x_{sh}|x_{al})p(x_{al}|x_{bu})p(x_{bu})$$

Alternative specification of the joint distribution

Use conditional probability tables according to the dependencies.

		$X_{\rm bu}$	$X_{\rm al}$	$P(X_{al} X_{bu})$	$X_{\rm al}$	X _{sh}	$P(X_{\rm sh} X_{\rm al})$
$X_{\rm bu}$	$P(X_{bu})$	0	0	0.9	0	0	0.8
0	0.8	0	1	0.1	0	1	0.2
1	0.2	1	0	0.2	1	0	0.3
	'	1	1	0.8	1	1	0.7

In the previous example we might be interested in the probability of the shooting device shooting or not shooting some target, i.e. $P(x_{sh})$:

$$P(x_{\rm sh}) = \sum_{x_{\rm al}, x_{\rm bu}} P(x_{\rm bu}, x_{\rm al}, x_{\rm sh})$$

We can use the factorization from above:

$$P(x_{\mathsf{sh}}) = \sum_{x_{\mathsf{al}}, x_{\mathsf{bu}}} P(x_{\mathsf{sh}} | x_{\mathsf{al}}) P(x_{\mathsf{al}} | x_{\mathsf{bu}}) P(x_{\mathsf{bu}})$$

We can push in the sum:

$$P(x_{\rm sh}) = \sum_{x_{\rm al}} P(x_{\rm sh}|x_{\rm al}) \sum_{x_{\rm bu}} P(x_{\rm al}|x_{\rm bu}) P(x_{\rm bu}).$$

For a larger chain this makes a huge difference computationally: $\mathcal{O}(2^N)$ vs. $\mathcal{O}(N)$, with N the number of variables.

Inference in Bayesian Networks (Williams, 2006 and Barber, 2012)



Interesting questions:

- If Holmes' lawn is wet, was it the rain or the sprinkler?
- If Watson's lawn is also wet how does this change things?

To answer these question we must do *inference*.

Lets plug some numbers in...

Why Bayesian Networks?



Recall: Bayes' Theorem

posterior \propto likelihood \times prior

In our example:

prior (probability of the events occuring)

$$p(r = yes, s = yes) = p(r = yes)p(s = yes)$$

- "likelihood" (observation model) p(h = yes|r,s)
- posterior (conditioning on observed evidence)
 p(s|h = yes), p(r|h = yes)

Open master's thesis topics

- Brain Connectivity Estimation a
- Online Outlier Detection
- Sleep Classification
- Model Selection for Dynamical Systems
- Simulator for Robotics Platform
- Large-Scale Parameter Inference in Dynamical Systems
- Analysis of the effects of smoking using mass-spec. data

Plan for next week

- Gaussian Mixture (EM)
- Variational Inference
- Belief Propagation

Questions?