# Algorithmic Game Theory 

Autumn 2021
Exercise Set 7
These exercises are non-graded. Please submit your your solutions via moodle, in order to get feedbacks, before the beginning of next lecture (Nov 12, 10:00 am). If you cannot use moodle, please send solutions by email to agt-course@lists.inf.ethz.ch.

## Exercise 1:

Recall the definition of algorithm Greedy-by-Value-Density for combinatorial auction (with single minded bidders) in the lecture notes.

Your task:

1. We have proven that this algorithm is $O(\sqrt{m})$ approximate. Show that this bound is tight, that is, the approximation ratio of Greedy-by-Value-Density is at least $\Omega(\sqrt{m})$.
2. We have used the monotonicity of this algorithm to show that there exists payments resulting on a truthful mechanism (payments based on threshold). Show that it is not possible to use VCG payments in combination with Greedy-by-Value-Density, and obtain a truthful mechanism in this way. Recall that VCG payments are defined as

$$
P_{i}(b)=Q_{i}\left(b_{-i}\right)-\sum_{j \neq i} b_{j}(A(b))
$$

where $b=\left(b_{1}, \ldots, b_{i}, \ldots, b_{n}\right)$ are the input bids, $Q_{i}$ is an arbitrary function independent of $b_{i}$, and $A$ is the algorithm used by the mechanism (here Greedy-by-Value-Density).

## Exercise 2:

Consider the following algorithm for single minded combinatorial auction:

## Reverse Greedy Algorithm rev $G R_{\sigma}$

1. Start with the set $S^{0} \leftarrow \mathcal{N}$ of all bidders;
2. If $S^{t}$ is not feasible then
(a) Score bidders in $S^{t}$ according to some scoring functions ${ }^{a}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{i}\left(b_{i}, b_{\mathcal{M} \backslash S^{t}}\right) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where each $\sigma_{i}$ is monotone non-decreasing in $b_{i}$.
(b) Drop the lowest score bidder in $S^{t}$ (break ties arbitrarily).
3. Repeat Step 2 until getting a feasible set $S^{F}$ (final set of winners).
${ }^{a}$ Here $b_{\mathcal{N} \backslash S^{t}}$ are the bids of bidders not in $S^{t}$, since $\mathcal{N}$ denotes all bidders.

Show that this algorithm can be used in a truthful mechanism, i.e., for every $\sigma$ as above there exist payment $P$ such that $\left(\operatorname{rev} G R_{\sigma}, P\right)$ is truthful.

## Exercise 3:

(2 Points)
Give a direct (self contained) proof that for single minded combinatorial auctions, truthfulness is equivalent to monotonicity of the allocation algorithm.

Definition (monotone allocation rule) For an allocation rule (algorithm) for the singleminded CA problem denote by $W(b)$ the set of winners when the bids are $b$. We say that this allocation rule is monotone if for every $i$, anf for every $b_{-i}$, there exists $b_{i}^{*}$ such that

$$
\begin{gather*}
b_{i}<b_{i}^{*} \Rightarrow i \notin W\left(b_{i}, b_{-i}\right)  \tag{2}\\
b_{i}>b_{i}^{*} \Rightarrow i \in W\left(b_{i}, b_{-i}\right) \tag{3}
\end{gather*}
$$

Your task: Show that $(A, P)$ truthful implies $A$ monotone and, conversely, if $A$ is monotone then there exist $P$ so that $(A, P)$ is truthful.

